UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DATE FILED:

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. - :

ANGELA BOSQUEZ,

Defendant.

ORDER

S1 19 Cr. 169 (VM)

WHEREAS, with the consent of the defendant, ANGELA BOSQUEZ, her guilty plea allocution was taken before a United States Magistrate Judge on December 26, 2019; and

WHEREAS, a transcript of the allocution was made and thereafter was transmitted to the District Court; and

WHEREAS, upon review of that transcript, this Court has determined that the defendant entered the guilty plea knowingly and voluntarily and that there was a factual basis for the guilty plea;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant's guilty plea is accepted.

SO ORDERED:

Dated:

New York, New York

THE HONORABLE VICTOR MARRERO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	JCQAABOSP I	Plea	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW Y		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
4	v.	19 CR 169 (BCM)	
5	ANGELA BOSQUEZ,		
6	Defendant.		
7		x	
8		New York, N.Y. December 26, 2019	
9		11:40 a.m.	
10	Before:		
11	HON.	BARBARA C. MOSES,	
12		District Judge	
13			
14		APPEARANCES	
15	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorne	ev for the	
16	Southern District of JUSTIN RODRIQUEZ		
17	Assistant United Stat	tes Attorney	
18	RICHARD LIND Attorney for Defendar	nt Bosquez	
19	necorney for belendar	Booque2	
20			
21	,		
22	ALSO PRESENT: FRANCISCO (OLIVERO, Spanish Language Interpreter	<u>-</u>
23		•	
24			
25			

1	(Case called)
2	MR. RODRIQUEZ: Good morning, your Honor.
3	Justin Rodriguez, for the United States.
4	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Rodriguez.
5	MR. LIND: Good morning, your Honor.
6	Richard Lind, for the defendant Angela Bosquez, who
7	standing next to me.
8	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Lind, Ms. Bosquez.
9	You may be seated.
10	Ms. Bosquez, I am Magistrate Judge Moses. Are you
11	able to hear and understand our proceedings through the
12	interpreter?
13	THĖ DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	I understand that we are here for a change of plea,
16	counsel. I am informed that Ms. Bosquez having previously
17	pleaded not guilty now wishes to plead guilty to Count One of
18	the information in this matter.
19	Mr. Lind, is that correct?
20	MR. LIND: That's correct, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22	Ms. Bosquez, I am holding up a form called "Consent to
23	Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge on a Felony
24	Plea Allocution". That looks like your signature at the
25	bottom.

Plea

1 Did you sign this form? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: What this form says is that you have the right to have your plea taken by a United States district judge 4 5 but you're agreeing to have your plea taken by a United States magistrate judge such as myself, a magistrate judge with 6 7 authority to take your plea with your consent and you have all 8 of the same rights and protections as if you were before a district judge. If you are found guilty you will be sentenced 9 10 by the district judge assigned to your case. 11 Did you sign this consent form voluntarily? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Before you signed the form, did your 14 lawyer explain it to you? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Do you wish to proceed with your plea in 16 THE COURT: 17 my courtroom today? 18 · THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: I will accept your consent. 20 As you heard me say to your lawyer, it's my understanding that you want to change your plea and enter a 21 22 plea of guilty to Count One of the information in this action. 23 Is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Now before deciding whether to accept your 25

24

25

Plea

quilty plea, I am going to ask you a series of questions. 1 2 important that you answer my questions honestly and completely. 3 The purpose of the questions is to make sure that you understand your rights, to make sure you are pleading quilty of 4 5 your own free will and to make sure that you are pleading quilty because you are quilty and not for any other reason. 6 7 Do you understand what I'm saying? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: OK. If you don't understand any of the 10 questions I ask you or if you want time to consult with your 11 attorney, please, speak up and let me know. It is important 12 that you understand every question before you answer it. Are you ready? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I will ask the courtroom deputy to swear 16 the defendant. 17 (Defendant Angela Bosquez sworn) THE COURT: All right. Ms. Bosquez, you are now under 18 19 oath. What that means, among other things, is that if you intentionally give a false answer to any of my questions you 20 21 could be prosecuted for perjury. 22 Do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Please state your full name.

THE DEFENDANT: Angela Bosquez.

1 THE COURT: Is there a second part of your last name? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Roque, R-O-Q-U-E. 3 THE COURT: Is it all right for me to call you 4 "Ms. Bosquez"? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. How old are you, Ms. Bosquez? 7 THE DEFENDANT: 52. THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Were you born here or were you a 11 naturalized citizen? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. I was born in Puerto Rico. 13 THE COURT: In the United States. Thank you. 14 How far did you go in school? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I finished my GED. 16 You got a GED. OK. Can you read and THE COURT: 17 write in Spanish? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: How about in English? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Not much. 21 THE COURT: Not much in English, all right. 22 Are you now or have you recently been under the care of a doctor, a psychiatrist or a psychologist for any reason? 23 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. OK. Which one of those kinds of doctors? 25 THE COURT:

1	THE DEFENDANT: I am receiving drug treatment and I am	
2	receiving treatment by a psychiatrist.	
3	THE COURT: So you are seeing a psychiatrist as part	
4	of your drug treatment?	
5	THE DEFENDANT: I have an illness. I am bipolar and	
6	have depression.	
7	THE COURT: Does the psychiatrist that you are seeing	
8	treat those conditions as well?	
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: OK. Are you taking any medication	
11	prescribed by the psychiatrist?	
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: And what are those?	
14	THE DEFENDANT: Arizapole, Trazodone, Bupropion.	
15	THE COURT: Bupropion, if I recall correctly, is an	
16	antianxiety drug; is that right?	
17	THE DEFENDANT: I suffer from anxiety.	
18	THE COURT: And trazodone is for your bipolar	
19	symptoms?	
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: And the other one you mentioned was called	
22	Arizapole; am I saying it right?	
23	THE DEFENDANT: For depression.	
24	THE COURT: Do you have any condition that you haven't	
25	mentioned yet that affects your ability to see or to hear?	

1	THE DEFENDANT: No.	
2	THE COURT: Do you have any condition that you haven't	
3	mentioned yet that affects your ability to think or to	
4	understand or to make judgments or decisions on your own	
5	behalf?	
6	THE DEFENDANT: I am unable to concentrate much.	
7	THE COURT: OK. Why is that, ma'am?	
8	THE DEFENDANT: My mind is like that I don't	
9	THE COURT: OK. Have you taken your prescribed	
10	medications today or last night? I don't know what time you	
11	are supposed to take them.	
12	THE DEFENDANT: I took them last night.	
13	THE COURT: OK. And that's when they were prescribed	
14	for you to take?	
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
16	THE COURT: Other than your prescribed medications,	
17	have you taken any drugs, medicine or pills in the last 24	
18	hours?	
19	THE DEFENDANT: No. I have methadone medication.	
20	THE COURT: So you also took your methadone?	
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: Is that prescribed by a doctor?	
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
24	THE COURT: OK. When did you take that?	
25	THE DEFENDANT: Today.	

8 JCQAABOSP Plea THE COURT: This morning. 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, everyday. THE COURT: OK. So last night you took your 3 Arizapole, your Trazodone and your Buproprion? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: As prescribed? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And this morning you took your methadone 8 as prescribed? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Any other drugs, medicine or pills in the 11 last 24 hours? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Do any of the drugs that were prescribed to you affect your ability to think or to understand 15 or to make decisions on your own behalf? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 17

THE COURT: OK. Is your mind clear today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand what is happening in this proceeding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either counsel have any objection to the defendant's competence to plead?

MR. RODRIQUEZ: No, your Honor.

1	MR. LIND: No, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Bosquez, have you received
3	a copy of the information in your case? The information is the
4	document containing the legal charge against you.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Have you read it? Well, let me rephrase.
7	Has it been translated into Spanish for you?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Did you read it in translation or did
10.	someone read it to you in Spanish?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Someone read it to me in Spanish.
12	THE COURT: All right. Do you understand what it says
13	you did?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Do you want me to read it to you today?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm sorry. I don't understand.
19	THE COURT: That's up to you. If you would like me to
20	read it to you I will read it to you and the translator will
21	translate it today.
22	THE DEFENDANT: No. It was translated for me.
23	Thank you.
24	THE COURT: All right. So I will not read it to you
25	today. If you change your mind, let me know.

25

1 Have you had time to talk to your attorney about the 2 charge against you and about how you wish to plead? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Has your attorney explained the 4 5 consequences of pleading guilty? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Are you satisfied so far with your 8 attorney's representation of you? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: What I'm going to do next is I am going to 11 explain certain constitutional rights that you have but that you will be giving up if you enter a guilty plea. 12 So I want 13 you to listen carefully to what I am going to tell you. If you 14 don't understand something I say, stop me, and either I or your 15 attorney will explain the issue more fully. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Under the Constitution and laws of the 17 18 United States, you have a right to plead not guilty to the 19 charges contained in the information. 20 Do you understand that. 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: If you plead not guilty you'll be entitled under the Constitution and laws of the United States to a 23 24 speedy and public trial by a jury of those charges. At trial

you would be presumed innocent. The government would be

Plea

required to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty. You could not be convicted unless a jury of 12 people agreed unanimously that you were guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

and at every stage of your case you'd have the right to be represented by an attorney. If you could not afford an attorney, an attorney would be appointed to represent you at government expense. Even if you decided to retain private defense counsel but later ran out of money, you'd be entitled to an appointed attorney then to continue to represent you. You are entitled to an attorney all they through and not just for a guilty plea. So your decision to plead guilty should not depend on whether you could afford to hire a lawyer.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At trial the witnesses for the prosecution would have to come to court and testify in your presence where you could see them and hear them and your lawyer could cross-examine them. If you wanted, your lawyer could offer evidence on your behalf as well. Your lawyer would be able to use the Court's power known as subpoena power to compel witnesses to come to court even if they didn't want to.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you were convicted at trial you would have the right to appeal that verdict to a higher court.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And as I said before, you have the right to plead not guilty. Even today although you came to court for the purpose of entering a guilty plea you have the right to change your mind to persist in your not guilty plea and to proceed towards trial but if you do plead guilty and if the Court accepts your plea, you will give up the right to trial and the rights that go with this that I've just described to you. If you plead guilty there will not be any trial. All that will remain to be done would be to impose a sentence. You and the government will have a chance to make certain arguments about what sentence will be but there won't be a trial to determine whether you were guilty or not guilty of any charge to which you plead guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that the decision as to the appropriate sentence in your case will be entirely up to the sentencing judge, not the government, not your lawyer and not me. That judge will be limited only by what the law

Plea

1 requires.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What that means is even if you are surprised or disappointed by your sentence you will still be bound by your guilty plea.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Finally, if you do plead guilty you are giving up the right not to incriminate yourself. I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you're actually guilty. So by pleading guilty you will be admitting your factual, as well as your legal guilt.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

just realized that I forgot to tell you about your testimonial rights. So let me just revisit that for a moment. If you go to trial you'd have the right to testify in your own defense if you wished to do so but you would also have the right not to testify. If you chose not to testify that could not be used against you in any way. No inference or suggestion of guilt would be permitted from the fact that you did not testify. Do you understand that this is that right that you have but that you'll be giving up if you enter a plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: All right. So taking a look at the
2	information in your case, the document I mentioned just a
3	moment ago, I see that you are charged in Count One with
4	conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to
5	distribute the following narcotics.
6	First, mixtures and substances containing a detectable
7	amount of fentanyl.
8	Second, mixtures and substance containing a detectable
9	amount of heroin.
10	Third, mixtures and substances containing a detectable
11	amount of cocaine, all in violation of Title 21 of U.S.C.
12	Sections 841(b)(1)(c) and 846.
13	I am going to ask the Assistant United States Attorney
14	to state the elements of that charge. The elements are the
15	things that the government would have to prove beyond a
16	reasonable doubt at trial.
17	Mr. Rodriguez.
18	MR. RODRIQUEZ: Count One has two elements, your
19	Honor.
20	First, that two or more people agreed to distribute or
21	possess with intent to distribute a quantity of mixtures and
22	substances containing a detectable amount of either fentanyl,
23	heroin or cocaine.
24	Second, that the defendant knowingly and voluntarily
25	joined that agreement.

25

THE COURT: And the venue element? 1 2 MR. RODRIQUEZ: The government would also have to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that at trial that 3 venue is proper here in the Southern District of New York. 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rodriguez. 5 6 Ms. Bosquez, I am now going to tell you the maximum 7 possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One. "Maximum" 8 means "the most", the most that could be imposed. It does not mean that this is necessarily what you will receive but by 9 pleading quilty you will be exposing yourself to a possibility 10 11 of receiving a punishment up to the maximum that I am about to describe. 12 Do you understand that? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: The maximum term of imprisonment for the 16 crime charged in Count One is 20 years in prison which could be 17 followed by up to a lifetime on supervised release. Now if your sentence does include supervised release 18 19 you'll be subject to supervision by our probation department 20 after you're released from prison. If you were to violate any condition of that supervised release, the Court could revoke 21 the term of supervised release previously imposed and return 22 you to prison without giving you time for credit previously 23 served on post release supervision. 24

Count One also carries a mandatory minimum sentence.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

JCQAABOSP Plea In this case a mandatory minimum of three years of supervised 1 2 release. A "mandatory minimum" means that the sentencing judge 3 cannot give you less than that. 4 In addition to those restrictions on your liberty, the 5 maximum possible punishment for the crime charged in Count One 6 includes a financial penalty. The maximum allowable fine is \$1 7 million or twice the profits of the criminal activity or twice 8 what someone other than yourself lost because of criminal 9 activity whichever is greater. 10 11 12 13 scope of Title 21 of U.S.C. Section 853. 14

In addition, by pleading guilty you will admit to the forfeiture allegations in the indictment -- excuse me -- the information and will agree to forfeit any property within the

I am also required by law to tell you that there is an additional special assessment of \$100 which is required to be imposed on each count of conviction.

Now you told me a few minutes ago that you are a U.S. citizen, correct?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The reason I ask that question is because if you were not a citizen your quilty plea would likely have adverse consequences for your ability to remain in or return to the United States. And I am required to advise all defendants of these consequence even if they tell me they are U.S. These consequences could include removal,

2.3

Plea

deportation, denial of citizenship or denial of admission to the United States in the future. Your removal or deportation could be mandatory and if that did happen you could still be bound by your guilty plea. That is you would not be able to withdraw it. Regardless of any advice you received from your counsel or others regarding immigration consequences of the plea.

Do you understand what I have told you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, I understand that there

THE COURT: All right. Now, I understand that there is a written plea agreement between you and the government. It is being handed to me now.

(Pause)

THE COURT: It is dated December the 17th. It's a letter dated December the 17th, addressed to your attorney, Mr. Lind. Let me look at the last page. It appears to have your signature on the last page which I am holding up.

Did you sign this plea agreement, ma'am?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did someone read it to you in Spanish before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand what it says?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

25

Plea

Did you discuss it with your attorney? THE COURT: 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Has anyone promised you anything or 3 offered you anything to get you to plead guilty other than what 4 is in this written plea agreement? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Everything is in the letter? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Looking at the letter, I see 9 10 on page 4 --11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. That you and the government have reached THE COURT: 12 13 agreement regarding the appropriate calculation of your 14 sentence under a part of our law known as the sentencing 15 quidelines. Specifically, I see that you and the government 16 have agreed that the appropriate guidelines sentencing range 17 for you is from 151 months to 188 months in prison and that the appropriate monetary guideline range for you under the 18 guidelines is from 30,000 to \$1 million. 19 Is that correct? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 Do you understand that under this 22 THE COURT: agreement neither you nor the government is allowed to argue to 23 the sentencing judge for a guidelines calculation which is 24

different from the one set forth in your written plea

Plea

1 | agreement?

2.4

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that in this agreement you have limited your right to appeal in certain respects from any sentence that may be imposed specifically as long as the sentencing judge gives you a prison term no longer than 188 months, any lawful sentence of supervised release and a fine no greater than a million dollars. You are giving up your right to challenge your sentence whether by direct appeal, writ of habeas corpus or otherwise.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that last part I said if you get a sentence of less than or equal to 188 months you can't appeal your sentence; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Do you also understand that under the terms of your plea agreement, even if you later learn that the government withheld from your counsel certain information that would have been helpful to you in defending yourself at trial, you won't be able to complain about that or withdraw your guilty plea for that reason?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the terms of your plea agreement, including any calculations relating to sentencing are not binding on the sentencing judge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The sentencing judge could reject those calculations and could impose a more severe sentence than you expect without permitting you to withdraw your plea of guilty. That is because the sentencing judge is required to make his own independent calculation of the appropriate sentencing range under the guidelines. He'll also have the discretion to give you a sentence below that range or above that range up to the maximum sentence I told you about earlier.

In addition to the guidelines and possible departures from the guidelines, the sentencing judge will consider the factors set forth at 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). In other words, the sentencing judge will pronounce whatever sentence he believes is the appropriate sentence for you even if that sentence is different from the range set forth in your plea agreement.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition, the sentencing judge will consider a presentence report that will be prepared by the probation department. Before you are sentenced both you and the government will have the opportunity to review that report and challenge the facts which are purported by the probation officer.

Ms. Bosquez, do you understand that in the federal

1 | sentence there is no parole?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So if you are sentenced to prison you will not be released on parole.

Let me ask both counsel at this juncture starting with the Assistant U.S. Attorney if there are any other provisions in the plea agreement you would like me to go over?

MR. RODRIQUEZ: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lind?

MR. LIND: No, judge.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Bosquez, one more time, because it's an important question. Aside from what's in the plea agreement itself, have any promises been made to you to influence you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have any promises been made to you concerning the actual sentence you will receive?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, you have been advised of the charges against you the possible penalties you face and the rights you are giving up. Do still wish to plead guilty to Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is your plea voluntary and made of your own free will.

Plea

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Bosquez, with respect to Count One of
3	the information in your case, how do you plead, guilty or not
4	guilty?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
6	THE COURT: Can you tell me in your own words please,
7	what you did that makes you guilty of that conduct.
8	MR. LIND: May I have one moment, judge, just to
9	clarify that with her?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	(Pause)
12	THE COURT: Counsel, is your client ready?
13	MR. LIND: Yes, judge.
14	THE COURT: Ms. Bosquez, the question was please tell
15	me what you did that makes you guilty of the crime to which you
16	are pleading guilty.
17	THE DEFENDANT: I conspired with other persons to
18	distribute drugs, fentanyl, cocaine and that is against the
19	law. That was in 2018, yes.
20	THE COURT: OK. You mentioned had fentanyl and
21	cocaine?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Were any other drugs involved in the
24	conspiracy that you just told me about?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Cocaine.

		•	2
1	JCQAABOSP	Plea	
1	T	HE COURT: Anything else?	
2	Ti	HE DEFENDANT: Nothing else. Fentanyl, cocaine and	
3	heroin.		
4	TI	HE COURT: Fentanyl, cocaine and heroin, all right.	
5	Aı	nd you say this took place in 2018?	
6	T	HE DEFENDANT: Yes, urge.	
7	Ti	HE COURT: And you said that you entered into a	
8	conspiracy	. Does that mean that you agreed with one or more	
9	than one of	ther persons to sell these drugs?	
10	T	HE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.	
11	T	HE COURT: OK. And where did this happen?	
12	T	HE DEFENDANT: In the Bronx.	
13	T	HE COURT: In Bronx, New York.	
14	T	HE DEFENDANT: (Nodding).	
15	T	HE COURT: All right. And at the time you entered	
16	into this	agreement to sell cocaine, fentanyl and heroin, did	
17	you know th	hat what you were doing was against the law?	
18	T	HE DEFENDANT: Yes, I knew it. I didn't think about	_
19	it but I k	new it.	
20	T	HE COURT: All right. Now, I see that you have some	9

THE COURT: All right. Now, I see that you have some notes in front of you. Did your attorney help prepare those notes that you used?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Is everything that you've just told

me true?

21

22

23

24

25

JCQAABOSP Plea 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Did you know at the time you entered into 2 this conspiracy that what you were doing was wrong? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rodriguez, do you believe 5 6 that is a sufficient factual predicate for the plea? 7 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Are there any additional questions would 8 you like me to ask Ms. Bosquez? 9 MR. RODRIQUEZ: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Does the government represent that this is 11 sufficient evidence to establish guilt beyond a reasonable 12 doubt and would you like to make a proffer? 13 14 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, your Honor. 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The government would proffer that its evidence at trial would establish that the defendant participated in a conspiracy to distribute narcotics out of a diner in the Bronx over the course of 2018. Its proof would include, among other things, the following video and audio recorded purchases of substances containing detectable amounts of fentanyl, heroin and cocaine by undercover officers from the defendant, as well as her co-conspirators, the testimony of law enforcement officers, including undercover officers, as well as laboratory results related to the substances purchased by undercover officers.

25

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. 1 2 All right. Ms. Bosquez, on the basis of your 3 responses to my questions and my observation of your demeanor, 4 I find that you are competent to enter a guilty plea. 5 I am satisfied that you understand your rights, 6 including your right to go to trial, and that you are aware of 7 the consequences of your plea including the sentence that may be imposed. I also conclude that you are voluntarily pleading 8 9 guilty and that you have admitted that you are guilty as 10 charged in Count One of the information. For these reasons I 11 will recommend that the district judge accept your plea. 12 This is Judge Marrero's case, correct? 13 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. I will ask the government to 15 order a copy of the transcript, to submit it to Judge Marrero together with the additional paperwork he'll need to act on my 16 17 recommendation. 18 Has he set is a sentencing date? MR. RODRIQUEZ: He has not, your Honor. We'd ask the 19 Court to set a three-month control date. 20 21 COURTROOM DEPUTY: April 25, 2020. 22 THE COURT: Ordinarily, we would do six months with the defendant out of custody. Do you have a reason or seeking 23 a shorter control date? 2.4

MR. RODRIQUEZ: No, your Honor. Whatever the Court

24

25

would do in the ordinary course. 1 2 THE COURT: April 25, 2020 is your control date. Now 3 the district judge may set a different sentencing date. So you need to be in touch with the district judge or that I will 4 direct that the presentence report be prepared. 5 6 Mr. Rodriguez, could you deliver the case summary to 7 the probation department? 8 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Ordinarily, I say within the next two 10 weeks but we're still in the holiday season. 11 MR. RODRIQUEZ: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Lind, can you be available for 12 13 interview by the probation department within the next few 14 weeks? 15 MR. LIND: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Now I understand Ms. Bosquez is released 16 on bail. Are there any objections to continuing the present 17 bail? 18 MR. RODRIQUEZ: No, your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Bosquez, you will remain released on the same bail conditions. I want to stress to you, 21 22 ma'am, that all of the conditions on which you were released up until now continue to apply. In addition, any violation of 23

those conditions as you've previously been warned would have

very serious consequences, including revocation of bail and

	JCQAABOSP Plea
1	potentially prosecution for a new crime called bail jumping.
2	Do you understand that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. Anything further on this
5	matter from the government?
6	MR. RODRIQUEZ: No, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: From the defense.
8	MR. LIND: No, your Honor.
9	Thank you very much.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
11	(Adjourned)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	,
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
20	



ORIGINAL

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

December 17, 2019

BY EMAIL

Richard Bruce Lind Richard Lind Attorney at Law 575 Lexington Ave New York, NY 10022 (212) 888-7725

Fax: (212) 371-2961 Email: rlindesq@aol.com

Re: United States v. Angela Bosquez, S1 19 Cr. 169 (VM)

Dear Mr. Lind:

On the understandings specified below, the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York ("this Office") will accept a guilty plea from Angela Bosquez ("the defendant") to Count One of the above-referenced Information (the "Information"). Count One charges the defendant with conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of fentanyl, mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of cocaine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(b)(1)(C) and 846. This charge carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years; a maximum term of supervised release of life; a mandatory minimum term of supervised release of three years; a maximum fine, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3571 and Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C), of the greatest of \$1,000,000, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than the defendant resulting from the offense; and a \$100 mandatory special assessment.

In consideration of the defendant's plea to the above offense, the defendant will not be further prosecuted criminally by this Office (except for criminal tax violations, if any, as to which this Office cannot, and does not, make any agreement) for conspiring to distribute and possess with intent to distribute fentanyl, heroin, and cocaine from in or about January 2018 through in or about January 2019, as charged in Count One of the Information, it being understood that this agreement does not bar the use of such conduct as a predicate act or as the basis for a sentencing enhancement in a subsequent prosecution including, but not limited to, a prosecution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961 et seq. In addition, at the time of sentencing, the Government will move to dismiss any open Count(s) against the defendant. The defendant agrees that with respect to any and all dismissed charges she is not a "prevailing party" within the meaning of the "Hyde

Amendment," Section 617, P.L. 105-119 (Nov. 26, 1997), and will not file any claim under that law.

The defendant hereby admits the forfeiture allegation with respect to Count One of the Information and agrees to forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, any and all property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of said offense and any and all property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of, said offense, including but not limited to a sum of money in United States currency representing the amount of proceeds traceable to the commission of said offense. It is further understood that any forfeiture of the defendant's assets shall not be treated as satisfaction of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty the Court may impose upon her in addition to forfeiture.

A. Offense Level

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Guidelines") Section 6B1.4, the parties hereby stipulate to the following:

A. Offense Level

- 1. The applicable Guidelines manual is the November 1, 2018 edition.
- 2. The Guideline applicable to Count One is U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(a)(5) and 2D1.1(c)(14), the base offense level is 12 because the offense involved less than 4 grams of fentanyl.
- 3. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(a), the defendant is a career offender because (1) she was at least eighteen years old at the time she committed the instant offense; (2) the offense charged in Count One is a felony that is a controlled substance offense; and (3) the defendant has at least two prior felony convictions for crimes of violence or controlled substance offenses, to wit, (a) on or about April 15, 1998, the defendant was convicted in Bronx County Supreme Court of Criminal Sale of a Controlled Substance on School Grounds, in violation of New York Penal Law § 220.44(2); and (b) on or about April 15, 1998, the defendant was convicted in Bronx County Supreme Court of Criminal Sale of a Controlled Substance on School Grounds, in violation of New York Penal Law § 220.44(2).
- 4. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(b)(3), the total offense level for Count One is 32 because the statutory maximum sentence for the offense charged in Count One is 20 years or more, but less than 25 years' imprisonment.
- 5. Assuming the defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility, to the satisfaction of the Government, through her allocution and subsequent conduct prior to the imposition of sentence, a two-level reduction will be warranted, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a). Furthermore, assuming the defendant has accepted responsibility as described in the previous sentence, the Government will move at sentencing for an

additional one-level reduction, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b), because the defendant gave timely notice of her intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the Government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.

In accordance with the above, the applicable Guidelines offense level is 29.

B. Criminal History Category

Based upon the information now available to this Office (including representations by the defense), the defendant has ten criminal history points, calculated as follows:

- 1. On or about April 24, 2015, the defendant was convicted in Bronx County Supreme Court of Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance in the Seventh Degree, in violation of New York Penal Law § 220.03. The defendant was later sentenced to a conditional discharge. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1(c), this sentence receives one criminal history point.
- 2. On or about January 28, 2003, the defendant was convicted in Bronx County Supreme Court of Attempted Promoting Prison Contraband in the First Degree, in violation of New York Penal Law § 205.25. The defendant was later sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 18 months to three years. The defendant was admitted to prison on March 12, 2003 and released on parole on June 17, 2004. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 4A1.1(a) and 4A1.2(e)(1), this sentence receives three criminal history points.
- On or about April 15, 1998, the defendant was convicted in Bronx County Supreme Court of Criminal Sale of a Controlled Substance on School Grounds, in violation of New York Penal Law § 220.44(2). The defendant committed the offense on January 26, 1998 and was arrested on January 26, 1998. The defendant was sentenced on April 15, 1998 to two to six years of imprisonment. The defendant was in prison from April 28, 1998 until August 27, 1999 when she was released on parole. The defendant then returned to prison on March 12, 2003 for violating parole in connection with the offense described in Paragraph B.2 above. The defendant was then released from prison again on parole on June 17, 2004. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 4A1.1(a), 4A1.2(a)(2), 4A1.2(e)(1), and 4A1.2(k), this sentence receives three criminal history points.
 - 4. On or about April 15, 1998, the defendant was convicted in Bronx County Supreme Court of Criminal Sale of a Controlled Substance on School Grounds, in violation of New York Penal Law § 220.44(2). The defendant committed the offense on November 15, 1997 and was arrested on November 15, 1997. The defendant was sentenced on April 15, 1998 to two to six years of imprisonment. The defendant was in prison from April 28, 1998 until August 27, 1999 when she was released on parole. The defendant then returned to prison on March 12, 2003 for violating parole in connection with the offense described in Paragraph B.2 above. The defendant was then released from prison again on parole on June 17, 2004. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 4A1.1(a), 4A1.2(a)(2), 4A1.2(e)(1), and 4A1.2(k), this sentence receives three criminal history points.

Based on the defendant's criminal history points, her Criminal History Category is V. Because the defendant is a career offender pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(b), she is in Criminal History Category VI.

C. Sentencing Range

Based upon the calculations set forth above, the defendant's stipulated Guidelines range is 151 to 188 months' imprisonment (the "Stipulated Guidelines Range"). In addition, after determining the defendant's ability to pay, the Court may impose a fine pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5E1.2. At Guidelines level 29, the applicable fine range is \$30,000 to \$1,000,000.

The parties agree that neither a downward nor an upward departure from the Stipulated Guidelines Range set forth above is warranted. Accordingly, neither party will seek any departure or adjustment pursuant to the Guidelines that is not set forth herein. Nor will either party in any way suggest that the Probation Office or the Court consider such a departure or adjustment under the Guidelines.

The parties agree that either party may seek a sentence outside of the Stipulated Guidelines Range based upon the factors to be considered in imposing a sentence pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

Except as provided in any written Proffer Agreement(s) that may have been entered into between this Office and the defendant, nothing in this Agreement limits the right of the parties. (i) to present to the Probation Office or the Court any facts relevant to sentencing; (ii) to make any arguments regarding where within the Stipulated Guidelines Range (or such other range as the Court may determine) the defendant should be sentenced and regarding the factors to be considered in imposing a sentence pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a); (iii) to seek an appropriately adjusted Guidelines range if it is determined based upon new information that the defendant's criminal history category is different from that set forth above; and (iv) to seek an appropriately adjusted Guidelines range or mandatory minimum term of imprisonment if it is subsequently determined that the defendant qualifies as a career offender under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1. Nothing in this Agreement limits the right of the Government to seek denial of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, see U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, regardless of any stipulation set forth above, if the defendant fails clearly to demonstrate acceptance of responsibility, to the satisfaction of the Government, through her allocution and subsequent conduct prior to the imposition of sentence. Similarly, nothing in this Agreement limits the right of the Government to seek an enhancement for obstruction of justice, see U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, regardless of any stipulation set forth above, should it be determined that the defendant has either (i) engaged in conduct, unknown to the Government at the time of the signing of this Agreement, that constitutes obstruction of justice or (ii) committed another crime after signing this Agreement.

It is understood that pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6B1.4(d), neither the Probation Office nor the Court is bound by the above Guidelines stipulation, either as to questions of fact or as to the determination of the proper Guidelines to apply to the facts. In the event that the Probation Office or the Court contemplates any Guidelines adjustments, departures, or calculations different from

those stipulated to above, or contemplates any sentence outside of the stipulated Guidelines range, the parties reserve the right to answer any inquiries and to make all appropriate arguments concerning the same.

It is understood that the sentence to be imposed upon the defendant is determined solely by the Court. It is further understood that the Guidelines are not binding on the Court. The defendant acknowledges that her entry of a guilty plea to the charged offenses authorizes the sentencing court to impose any sentence, up to and including the statutory maximum sentence. This Office cannot, and does not, make any promise or representation as to what sentence the defendant will receive. Moreover, it is understood that the defendant will have no right to withdraw her plea of guilty should the sentence imposed by the Court be outside the Guidelines range set forth above.

It is agreed (i) that the defendant will not file a direct appeal; nor bring a collateral challenge, including but not limited to an application under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255 and/or Section 2241, of any sentence within or below the Stipulated Guidelines Range of 151 to 188 months' imprisonment, and (ii) that the Government will not appeal any sentence within or above the Stipulated Guidelines Range. This provision is binding on the parties even if the Court employs a Guidelines analysis different from that stipulated to herein. Furthermore, it is agreed that any appeal as to the defendant's sentence that is not foreclosed by this provision will be limited to that portion of the sentencing calculation that is inconsistent with (or not addressed by) the above stipulation. The parties agree that this waiver applies regardless of whether the term of imprisonment is imposed to run consecutively to or concurrently with the undischarged portion of any other sentence of imprisonment that has been imposed on the defendant at the time of sentencing in this case. The defendant further agrees not to appeal any term of supervised release that is less than or equal to the statutory maximum. The defendant also agrees not to appeal any fine that is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, and the Government agrees not to appeal any fine that is greater than or equal to \$30,000. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to be a waiver of whatever rights the defendant may have to assert claims of ineffective assistance of counsel, whether on direct appeal, collateral review, or otherwise. Rather, it is expressly agreed that the defendant reserves those rights.

The defendant hereby acknowledges that she has accepted this Agreement and decided to plead guilty because she is in fact guilty. By entering this plea of guilty, the defendant waives any and all right to withdraw her plea or to attack her conviction, either on direct appeal or collaterally, on the ground that the Government has failed to produce any discovery material, *Jencks* Act material, exculpatory material pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), other than information establishing the factual innocence of the defendant, or impeachment material pursuant to *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), that has not already been produced as of the date of the signing of this Agreement.

The defendant recognizes that, if she is not a citizen of the United States, her guilty plea and conviction make it very likely that her removal from the United States is presumptively mandatory and that, at a minimum, she is at risk of being removed or suffering other adverse immigration consequences. If the defendant is a naturalized citizen of the United States, she recognizes that pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to the defendant's

immigration status. For example, under federal law, an individual may be subject to denaturalization and removal if her naturalization was procured by concealment of a material fact or by willful misrepresentation, or otherwise illegally procured. The defendant acknowledges that she has discussed the possible immigration consequences (including removal or denaturalization) of her guilty plea and conviction with defense counsel. The defendant affirms that she wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration or denaturalization consequences that may result from the guilty plea and conviction, even if those consequences include denaturalization and/or removal from the United States. The defendant understands that denaturalization and other immigration consequences are typically the subject of a separate proceeding, and the defendant understands that no one, including her attorney or the District Court, can predict with certainty the effect of the defendant's conviction on the defendant's immigration or naturalization status. It is agreed that the defendant will have no right to withdraw her guilty plea based on any actual or perceived adverse immigration consequences (including removal or denaturalization) resulting from the guilty plea and conviction. It is further agreed that the defendant will not challenge her conviction or sentence on direct appeal, or through litigation under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255 and/or Section 2241, on the basis of any actual or perceived adverse immigration consequences (including removal or denaturalization) resulting from her guilty plea and conviction.

It is further agreed that should the conviction following the defendant's plea of guilty pursuant to this Agreement be vacated for any reason, then any prosecution that is not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this agreement (including any counts that the Government has agreed to dismiss at sentencing pursuant to this Agreement) may be commenced or reinstated against the defendant, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this Agreement and the commencement or reinstatement of such prosecution. It is the intent of this Agreement to waive all defenses based on the statute of limitations with respect to any prosecution that is not time-barred on the date that this Agreement is signed.

It is further understood that this Agreement does not bind any federal, state, or local prosecuting authority other than this Office.

Apart from any written Proffer Agreement(s) that may have been entered into between this Office and defendant, this Agreement supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the defendant. No additional understandings, promises, or conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this Agreement, and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

Very truly yours,

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney

By:

Justin V. Rodriguez / Sheb Swett Assistant United States Attorney (212) 637-2591 / 6522

APPROVED:

eorge D. Turner / Jason M. Swergold

Chiefs, Narcotics Unit

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

APPROVED:

Richard Bruce Lind,

Attorney for Angela Bosquez